

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,907	12/16/2005	Toshinori Sugihara	LB-1035-616	2364
23117 7590 02/06/2009 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			KIM, JAY C	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2815		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/560,907 SUGIHARA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JAY C. KIM 2815 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 4-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/560,907 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed December 4, 2008.

Specification

 The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because abstract should be in the range of 50-150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 12, "so that to" should be replaced by "so as to". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 4-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 4, it is not clear what "on the order of 0 V" refers to, because, for example, on the order of 1 would be about $1 \sim 9$ and on the order of 10 would be about $1 \sim 99$, and whether Applicants claim *about 0 V*. Claims 5-34 depend on claim 4, and therefore claims 5-34 are also indefinite.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/560,907

Art Unit: 2815

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 4, 6, 7, 11, 15-20, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki et al. (US 2003/0047785) in view of Goodman (US 4,204,217) and further in view of Yan et al. (US 2004/0061114).

Regarding claim 4, Kawasaki et al. disclose a semiconductor device (Fig. 1) comprising an active layer (5) (line 3 of [0037]), to which elements are added (lines 3-4 of [0038]), and which is made of a semiconductor containing ZnO or Mg_xZn_{1-x}O (lines 1-3 of [0038]), and a blocking member (4a, 4b, 6, 7 and 9) (lines 3-5 of [0037], [0039], and line 6 of [0050]) for blocking the active layer (5) from an atmosphere such that the atmosphere substantially does not influence a region, in which a movable charge moves, of the active layer (5).

Kawasaki et al. differ from the claimed invention by not showing that nitrogen and hydrogen are added to the active layer, which is made of a semiconductor containing polycrystalline ZnO or Mg_xZn_{1-x}O, amorphous ZnO or amorphous Mg_xZn_{1-x}O, or either mixture of the polycrystalline ZnO and the amorphous ZnO or mixture of the polycrystalline Mg_xZn_{1-x}O and the amorphous Mg_xZn_{1-x}O.

Goodman discloses a semiconductor device (Fig. 1) comprising an active layer (16) made of polycrystalline or amorphous ZnO (col. 2. lines 7-9).

Art Unit: 2815

Since both Kawasaki et al. and Goodman teach a semiconductor device, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the active layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. comprises polycrystalline or amorphous ZnO, because a polycrystalline or amorphous semiconductor material is commonly used in manufacturing a thin film transistor.

Further regarding claim 4, Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman differ from the claimed inventions by not showing that hydrogen and nitrogen are added to the active layer.

Yan et al. disclose that high quality p-type ZnO films can be achieved using either NO or NO₂ gas as a dopant (lines 1-2 of [0036]).

Since both Kawasaki et al. and Yan et al. teach a ZnO semiconductor film, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to dope the active layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman with the dopants disclosed by Yan et al., because a high quality ZnO active layer may be formed by using either NO or NO₂ gas as a dopant, and therefore nitrogen is inherently added to the active layer.

Further regarding claim 4, Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Yan et al. differ from the claimed invention by not showing that hydrogen is added to the active layer.

It would have been obvious, if not inherent, to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the active layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Yan et al. may be unintentionally doped with

Art Unit: 2815

hydrogen, because hydrogen is a common impurity that can unintentionally dope a semiconductor layer in a vacuum chamber or an air ambient via incorporation of hydrogen molecules, organic molecules or water molecules into the semiconductor layer.

Further regarding claim 4, the claim limitation "said nitrogen and hydrogen are added to the active layer so that to control a threshold voltage of the semiconductor device to be on the order of 0 V" specifies an intended use or field of use, and is treated as non-limiting since it has been held that in device claims, intended use must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. *Ex Parte Masham*, 2 USPQ 2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

Regarding claim 6, Kawasaki et al. further disclose for the semiconductor device as set forth in claim 4 that the blocking member (4a, 4b, 6, 7 and 9) is made up of different blocking layers (4a, 4b, 6, 7 and 9).

Regarding claim 7, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that a blocking layer (4b) is made of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, MgO, Ta₂O₅, TiO₂, ZrO₂, CeO₂, K₂O, Li₂O, Na₂O, Rb₂O, In₂O₃,

Art Unit: 2815

 La_2O_3 , Sc_2O_3 , Y_2O_3 , or a solid solution containing at least two of them (lines 5-9 of [0041]).

Regarding claim 11, Kawasaki et al. further comprise for the semiconductor device as set forth in claim 6 a gate electrode (3) (line 4 of [0037]) for controlling move of a movable electric charge in the active layer (5), a gate insulating layer (4), which serves as a block layer, for insulating the active layer (5) from the gate electrode (3), a source electrode (6) connected to the active layer (5), and a drain electrode (7) connected to the active layer (5), wherein a blocking layer (4b) is made of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, MgO, Ta₂O₅, TiO₂, ZrO₂, CeO₂, K₂O, Li₂O, Na₂O, Rb₂O, In₂O₃, La₂O₃, Sc₂O₃, Y₂O₃, or a solid solution containing at least two of them (lines 5-9 of [0041]).

Regarding claim 15, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 16, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Regarding claim 17, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 18, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 19, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 20, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Regarding claim 27, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 28, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

8. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki et al. (US 2003/0047785) in view of Goodman (US 4,204,217) and further in view of Yan et al. (US 2004/0061114) as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Yamada (US 5,674,599). The teachings of Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Yan et al. are discussed above.

Yan et al. further comprise for the method for manufacturing the semiconductor device as set forth in claim 4 the step of forming the active layer under an atmosphere containing NO or NO₂ gas as a dopant (Yan et al., lines 1-2 of [0036]).

Art Unit: 2815

Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Yan et al. differ from the claimed invention by not comprising the step of forming the active layer under an atmosphere containing hydrogen peroxide.

Yamada discloses a method for manufacturing a thin film including ZnO (col. 4, lines 1-4), wherein nitrogen monoxide, nitrogen dioxide and hydrogen peroxide can be used as a compound containing oxygen element (col. 10, lines 53-56).

Since both Kawasaki et al. and Yamada teach a ZnO thin film, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the ZnO active layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Yan et al. may be formed under an atmosphere containing hydrogen peroxide, because a mixture of oxidative gases is commonly used in forming a ZnO thin film, and hydrogen peroxide can improve properties of the ZnO active layer by controlling growth process parameters. Further, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

9. Claims 8, 12, 21, 22, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki et al. (US 2003/0047785) in view of Goodman (US 4,204,217) and further in view of Yan et al. (US 2004/0061114), and then further in view of Ogawa (US 2002/0056838). The teachings of Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Yan et al. are discussed above.

Regarding claim 8, Kawasaki et al. further disclose for the semiconductor device as set forth in claim 7 that a blocking layer (9) ([0050]) constituting the blocking layers

Art Unit: 2815

(4a, 4b, 6, 7 and 9) is made of silicon nitride, and the blocking layer (9) is so provided as to meet the active layer (5) separately from (i) each of two electrodes (6 and 7) serving as blocking layers and connected to the active layer (5), and (ii) an insulating layer (4), which serves as a blocking layer and meets the active layer (5), for insulating the active layer (5) from a control electrode (3) (line 4 of [0037]) for controlling move of a movable electric charge in the active layer (5).

Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Yan et al. differ from the claimed invention by not showing that the blocking layer is made of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, MgO, Ta₂O₅, TiO₂, ZrO₂, CeO₂, K₂O, Li₂O, Na₂O, Rb₂O, In₂O₃, La₂O₃, Sc₂O₃, Y₂O₃, ..., or a solid solution containing at least two of them.

Ogawa discloses a semiconductor device (Fig. 9) comprising a blocking layer (13) (line 2 of [0181]) for a ZnO semiconductor layer (23) (lines 5-6 of [0177]), wherein the blocking layer (13) can be made of SiO₂ (lines 8-11 of [0077]).

Since both Kawasaki et al. and Ogawa teach a semiconductor device, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the silicon nitride blocking layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Yan et al. with SiO₂ disclosed by Ogawa, because SiO₂ is commonly used as an alternative to silicon nitride in manufacturing a semiconductor device.

Regarding claim 12, Kawasaki et al. further disclose for the semiconductor device as set forth in claim 11 that a blocking layer (9) ([0050]) constituting the blocking layers (4a, 4b, 6, 7 and 9) is made of silicon nitride, and the blocking layer (9) is so

Art Unit: 2815

provided as to meet the active layer (5) separately from the source electrode (6), the drain electrode (7), and the gate insulating layer (4), each of which serves as a blocking layer.

Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Yan et al. differ from the claimed invention by not showing that the blocking layer is made of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, MgO, Ta₂O₅, TiO₂, ZrO₂, CeO₂, K₂O, Li₂O, Na₂O, Rb₂O, In₂O₃, La₂O₃, Sc₂O₃, Y₂O₃, ..., or a solid solution containing at least two of them.

Ogawa discloses a semiconductor device (Fig. 9) comprising a blocking layer (13) (line 2 of [0181]) for a ZnO semiconductor layer (23) (lines 5-6 of [0177]), wherein the blocking layer (13) can be made of SiO₂ (lines 8-11 of [0077]).

Since both Kawasaki et al. and Ogawa teach a semiconductor device, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the silicon nitride blocking layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Yan et al. with SiO₂ disclosed by Ogawa, because SiO₂ is commonly used as an alternative to silicon nitride in manufacturing a semiconductor device.

Regarding claim 21, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 22, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 29, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 30, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

10. Claims 9, 10, 13, 14, 23-26 and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki et al. (US 2003/0047785) in view of Goodman (US 4,204,217) and further in view of Yan et al. (US 2004/0061114), and then further in view of Kaneko et al. (US 5,166,816). The teachings of Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Yan et al. are discussed above.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Kawasaki et al. further disclose for the semiconductor device as set forth in claim 6 that a blocking layer (9) ([0050]) is made of silicon nitride, and the blocking layer (9) is so provided as to meet the active layer (5) separately from (i) each of two electrodes (6 and 7) serving as blocking layers and connected to the active layer (5), and (ii) an insulating layer (4), which serves as a blocking layer and meets the active layer (5), for insulating the active layer (5) from a control electrode (3) (line 4 of [0037]) for controlling move of a movable electric charge in the active layer (5).

Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Yan et al. differ from the claimed invention by not showing that the blocking layer is made of resin.

Kaneko et al. disclose a semiconductor device (Fig. 6) wherein a blocking layer

Art Unit: 2815

(61) is made of resin (col. 4, line 57), and the blocking layer (61) is so provided as to meet the active layer (54) (col. 4, lines 21-22) separately from each of two electrodes (56 and 57) (col. 4, lines 15-16) serving as blocking layers and connected to the active layer (54), and an insulating layer (53) (col. 4, line 21), which serves as a blocking layer and meets the active layer (54), for insulating the active layer (54) from a control electrode (52) (col. 4, lines 20-21) for controlling move of a movable electric charge in the active layer (54).

Since both Kawasaki et al. and Kaneko et al. teach a semiconductor device, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the blocking layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Yan et al. with the polyimide resin disclosed by Kaneko et al., because a polyimide resin is a well-known material for forming an interlayer insulating film in manufacturing a thin film transistor.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, Kawasaki et al. further comprise for the semiconductor device as set forth in claim 6 a gate electrode (3) (line 4 of [0037]) for controlling move of a movable electric charge in the active layer (5), a gate insulating layer (4), which serves as a block layer, for insulating the active layer (5) from the gate electrode (3), a source electrode (6) connected to the active layer (5), a drain electrode (7) connected to the active layer (5), wherein a blocking layer (9) is made of silicon nitride ([0050]), and the blocking layer (9) is so provided as to meet the active layer (5) separately from the source electrode (6), the drain electrode (7), and the gate insulating layer (4), each of which serves as a blocking layer.

Art Unit: 2815

Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Yan et al. differ from the claimed invention by not showing that the blocking layer is made of a resin.

Kaneko et al. disclose a semiconductor device (Fig. 6) comprising a gate electrode (52) (col. 4, lines 20-21) for controlling move of a movable electric charge in the active layer (54) (col. 4, lines 21-22), a gate insulating layer (53) (col. 4, line 21), which serves as a block layer, for insulating the active layer (54) from the gate electrode (52), a source electrode (57) (col. 4, lines 15-16) connected to the active layer (54), a drain electrode (56) (col. 4, line 16) connected to the active layer (54), wherein a blocking layer (61) is made of a resin (col. 4, line 57), and the blocking layer (61) is so provided as to meet the active layer (54) separately from the source electrode (57), the drain electrode (56), and the gate insulating layer (53), each of which serves as a blocking layer.

Since both Kawasaki et al. and Kaneko et al. teach a semiconductor device, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the silicon nitride blocking layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Yan et al. with the polyimide resin disclosed by Kaneko et al., because a polyimide resin is a well-known material for forming an interlayer insulating film in manufacturing a thin film transistor.

Regarding claim 23, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) (10093) and lines 1-3 of (0096)).

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 24, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Regarding claim 25, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 26, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Regarding claim 31, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 32, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Regarding claim 33, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 34, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Page 15

Application/Control Number: 10/560,907

Art Unit: 2815

Response to Arguments

 Applicants' arguments filed December 4, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that "with the above amendment, it is made clear that the claimed semiconductor device requires intentional doping with hydrogen in the active layer to ensure that the threshold voltage is on the order of 0 V". The limitation "on the order of 0 V" is indefinite as stated above, and therefore it is not clear whether intentional doping with hydrogen is necessary or not.

Applicants argue that "therefore, the doping of hydrogen in the claimed device must be intentional to ensure that the threshold voltage shift is restrained", and that "it would not be possible to guarantee this with unintentional doping with hydrogen".

Applicants do not specifically claim ensuring restrained threshold voltage shift in claim 4. Also, it is improper to import claim limitations from the specification. See MPEP 2111 01

Applicants argue that "it is submitted that this restraint of the threshold voltage is not disclosed in any of the cited references". See the above response.

Applicants argue that "therefore, unintentional doping of the active layer with hydrogen would not produce an active layer with the claimed property of having a threshold voltage on the order of 0 V". See the above response.

Applicants' argument with respect to claim 5 has been considered but is moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. Application/Control Number: 10/560,907 Page 16

Art Unit: 2815

Conclusion

12. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAY C. KIM whose telephone number is (571)270-1620. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,907 Page 17

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. K./ Examiner, Art Unit 2815 February 2, 2009 /Jerome Jackson Jr./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815